

**INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CONSTITUENCY MEETING**

Tuesday, May 24, 2005, 10:30 AM EDT

Advance Agenda (5/20/05)

- I. IPC Housekeeping Issues - Update
  - A. Website
  - B. Membership Forms (Cats. 1, 2, 3)
  - C. Update on IPC By-Laws changes (see attached redline)
- II. ICANN Related Issues
  - A. [Revised Strategic Plan](#)
  - B. [Proposed FY 2005-06 Budget](#)
  - C. [ICANN Compliance Program](#)
  - D. MOU Compliance
- III. Other Issues/Next Meeting
  - A. Meeting with Law Enforcement, Registrars, and ISPs re: Approaches to Dealing with Online Illegal Activity
  - B. In-person Meeting?

## INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CONSTITUENCY MEETING

### Minutes

Tuesday, May 24, 2005 10:30 AM EDT - 11:00 AM EDT

Attendees: J. Scott Evans, Steve Metalitz, Lucy Nichols, Ryan Lehning, Mike Heltzer, Charles Sha'ban, Keith Barritt, Shelley Jones

Ryan began with a quick update on the status of the new IPC website. The website is complete and online, but needs to be associated with the ipconstituency.org domain name, registered by Jane Mutimear. That process is underway and should be completed within the next week. Ryan noted that draft IPC Bylaws were complete. J. Scott Evans suggested that the Bylaws be posted to the new website and circulated to the IPC membership for a 1 week review and that voting should begin following that review. Ryan will post the Bylaws for review by the beginning of the week beginning May 30.

Participants then discussed a host of ICANN related issues, beginning with the recently released latest draft of the Revised Strategic Plan, available at [http://www.icann.org/strategic-plan/strategic-plan-v7\\_3.pdf](http://www.icann.org/strategic-plan/strategic-plan-v7_3.pdf). From an IPC perspective, the new Plan is not substantively different from the previous version, so participants did not feel it was necessary to make comments. As for the proposed budget, available at <http://www.icann.org/financials/proposed-budget-17may05.html>, participants discussed in particular the fact that the budget mentions that ICANN is in the process of re-drafting the Registrar Accreditation Agreement (RAA). Participants on the call suggested that it might be worthwhile to approach ICANN to determine what the new RAA might look like and when it might be implemented. J. Scott suggested that Ryan circulate a note to the IPC's GNSO representatives asking them to see if the GNSO could look into developments around the new RAA.

At this point Charles Sha'ban mentioned the ongoing World Summit on the Information Society (WSIS) and particularly the work being undertaken by the Working Group on Internet Governance (WGIG). Charles recommended that participants visit <http://www.wgig.org> and take part in a questionnaire available on the site, in preparation for the WGIG's next meeting in Geneva on June 14-17 in Geneva.

Participants also noted that the new compliance program still appears to exist only on paper and that compliance officer job postings do not seem to have been posted. *See* ICANN Compliance Program for Registries and Registrars, at <http://www.icann.org/compliance/>. Finally, participants noted that the proposed budget announces that ICANN has met 16 out of the 25 Department of Commerce - ICANN Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) requirements. *See* DOC- ICANN MOU, at <http://www.icann.org/general/amend6-jpamou-17sep03.htm>. Given ICANN's failure to meet deadlines on some of these requirements, participants were skeptical that the MOU requirements as stated in the budget had actually been met. Ryan noted that he would compile an analysis of the MOU requirements to determine whether, in fact, ICANN had met them.

Participants then briefly discussed a meeting held on May 20th between law enforcement agencies, registrars, registries, and industry to discuss informal ways that Internet intermediaries

could help prevent online illegal activity. Finally, J. Scott Evans suggested that the IPC hold its next in-person meeting the morning of Sept. 14 in Washington, DC. J. Scott also suggested June 16 or 17 at 10:30 AM EDT for the next IPC conference call, depending on participant availability.